enternal 007/019 CC: Pete

Michael O. Leavitt Governor Kathleen Clarke **Executive Director** Lowell P. Braxton

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) Division Director 801-538-7223 (TDD)

August 23, 2000

TO:

Internal File

THRU:

Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM:

James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist IDS

RE:

2000 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Centennial

Project, ACT/007/019-WQ00-1

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?

YES[] NO[X]

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

No report received for the first quarter 2000.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the fiveyear baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

## **Resampling Due Date**

During the year preceding each repermitting action: one sample for baseline analysis at high and one at low flow for surface-monitoring sites, and one at low flow for ground-water monitoring sites. Next renewal submittal due 09/04/01, for renewal on 04/04/02.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?

YES[]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No report received for the first quarter 2000.

4. Were irregularities found in the data?

YES [X] NO[]

NO[X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No report received for the first quarter 2000.

ACT/007/019-WQ00-1
August 23, 2000
Page 2

5.	Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?				
		1st month,	YES [	]	NO[X
		2 <sup>nd</sup> month,	YES [	]	NO[X]
	Identify sites and months not monitored:	3 <sup>rd</sup> month,	YES [	]	NO [X
	No DMR reports received for the first quarter 2000.				
6.	Were all required DMR parameters reported?  Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [	]	NC	)[X]
	No DMR reports received for the first quarter 2000.				
7.	Were irregularities found in the DMR data?  Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [	X ]	NC	)[]
	No DMR reports received for the first quarter 2000.				

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No DMR reports received for the first quarter 2000.

 $sm \\ O:\label{eq:condition} O:\label{eq:con$